EXHIBIT 11

MAZ. AFF.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

15

16

17

TR

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	D. HARTHARK - DIRECT (BY HR. MATAROLI) 6
1	Tell me if you can't hear my question,
2	stop me if you don't understand the question and
3	I'll be happy to repeat it or rephrase it.
4	MR. MAZAROLI: Ms. Reporter, just some
5	fogistics issues. Would you, please is there
5	anyone else in the room other than the witness and
7	Mr. Hasiak?
8.	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, there is,
9	MR. MAZAROLI: Would you inform us if
10	anyone else enters the room?
11	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes,
12	MR. MAZAROLI: And would you inform us of
13	any kind of off-the-record communication between the
14	witness and anyone else in the room?
15	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
16	MR. MAZAROLI: Okay. Ms. Reporter, you
17	have the caption I understand. We generally go by
18	what's called usual stipulations. Do you know what
19	that is?
20.	THE COURT REPORTER: I will put down usual
21	stipulations, and I will let you determine what that
22	is.
23	MR. MAZAROLI; Okay.
24	BY MR. MAZAROLI:
25	Q. Mr. Hartmann, please state your full name

THOMAS & TROMAS TOWNT PERCENTERS AND CEPTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. 14021556-5000 PAN(402)556-3037

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (NY MB. MAZABOLI) 1 Okay. Is Union Pacific Company the parent 2 company for Union Pacific Railroad Company? 3 Yes, it is, to my knowledge. Okay. Unless otherwise indicated, 4. 5 reference herein to Union Pacific shall mean Union Pacific Railroad Company, the defendant in this E 4 8 Would you briefly describe your employment history with Union Pacific since the start 12 years 9 10 11 A. Certainly, I was hired on November 27th, 12 1995 in a position title of supervisor of operations. Actually was employed by the subsidiary 13 company Union Pacific Distribution Services at that 14 35 time 16 I'm just referring to my transcript so I can give you the exact dates. 17 I was also then promoted to market analyst 16 19 for Union Pacific Distribution Services in June of 1996. I was then changed positions to another 20 21 department at Union Pacific Distribution Services as 22 a market analyst. I was then promoted in August of 23 '98 to a sales job for Union Pacific Distribution 24 Services. Promoted again in November of 1999 to

THOMAS : THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, E.C.C. (402)316-5000 FAX(402)316-2037

product manager international intermodal at which

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT INV. NR. MAIAROLII 1 and your residence address for the record. 2 Okay. My name is Daniel Paul Hartmann. 3 Resident address is 436 South 126 Street, Omaha, Nebraska, 68154. 4 Q. All right. Sir, what is your age? 5 A. I'm 42. 5 7 Q. Okay. And where are you sitting at the H present time? 9 Ä. In a conference room at Union Pacific Center headquarter building. 10 11 Q. In Omaha, Nebraska? 12 In Omaha, Nebraska, that's correct. And do you personally have a domicile 0. 13 within 100 miles of New York City? 14 A. I do not. 15 16 Q. Okay. By whom are you presently employed? A. Union Pacific Railroad. 17 0. And how long have you been employed with 18 Union Pacific Railroad? 19 For 12 years today actually. 20 21 And Union Pacific Railroad is the same entity as Union Pacific Railroad Company, a 22 defendant in this action, is that your employer? 23 24 My employer is Union Pacific Company. Railroad is part of that company, correct.

D. WARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MATAROLI) time I moved from the subsidiary company to the railroad company. And then during that period of time up to the present I've been in the same department, international intermodal, the entire time. Currently I'm business director international intermodal. Q. So currently business director? Α. Yes, sir. Q. International intermodal? That's correct. Α. 0. Okay. Before being employed with Union Pacific Railroad, what was your prior employment? Α. I worked for ConAgra Company in Omaha, Q. And what did you do at ConAgra? A. I was in the customer service role working with several food service companies that were customers of ConAgra Foods. Okay. Did that involve transportation at all? To some degree it did. I did arrange some trucking services and some basic rail services, but it was with several different railroads and trucking companies.

25

Okay. What about your educational

2 3

4

5

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 25

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

œď		ARH - DIRECT (AT HR. MAZAROLI)	10
1	A	nd, would you give us an overview of that?	
2	Α.	Sure. I have a Bachelor's of Science in	
3.		administration with — from the University	
4		ska at Omaha. I graduated in 1990, And I	
5		e limited credit hours I've obtained as a	
6		or my MBA program at University of	
7:	Nebraska	at Omaha.	
8:	Q,		
9	director o	f international intermodal; is that	
.0	correct?		
1	Α.	Yes, it is.	
2	Q.	And does that focus on certain accounts or	
3		cross the board worldwide director of	
4	business t	for intermodal operations?	
5	Α.	I actually am responsible for about	
€	roughly h	alf of our business. I have one business	
7	manager	that works for me that handles some of our	
â.	accounts	that are under my authority, and then I	
9	have dire	ct responsibility as well for two accounts	
o.	under my	authority.	
1	Q.	Is that Costco and Evergreen?	
2	Α.	That's correct.	
3	Q.	Do you have any direct responsibility for	
4	K Line?		
5	Α.	No, I do not,	

44081536-3080 FAX:4021556-2031

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAZAROLI) 2 Q. At the present time is that something you're involved in? 3 4 A. No, it's not. 5 Q. Okay. In other words, you don't go out to 6 a derailment site and you did not go to the 7 derailment site relevant to this court case, did 8 you? 9 Α. No, sir. Q. Okay. What is the business of Union 10 11 Pacific Railroad Company? 12 A. The business is to move cargo on our 13 system from origin to destination by rail. Q. What are your day-to-day duties with 14 15 respect to intermodal operations? 16 A. The operations side I don't have a lot of involvement with since I'm on the marketing side, 17 but I do, of course, work closely with our customer 18 19 service center which does handle more of the operations side. I do get phone calls from time to 20 21 time from customers asking questions that are probably operationally related, and I do get 22 23 involved with train design, things like that if the 24 need be. If a vessel rotation changes and they need

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CENTIFIED LEGAL VIEWS, L.L.C. (402)556-5000 FAX(402)556-2037

a new train service, then I work with operations to

31		ANN - DIRECT (HY MR. MATAPOLI)	11
	Q.	Where is your office on a day-to-day	
1	basis, is if	at the Omaha headquarters?	
3:	Α.	Yes, it is.	
디	Q.	And do you have your own office?	
:	A.	I have a cubicle.	
=	Q.	Okay. And in preparation for today's	
7	deposition), did you review any documents to refresh	
1	your reco	llection of the events which are relevant	
2	to this col	urt action?	
2	A.	Yes, I did.	
1	Q.	And what documents did you review?	
3	Α.	I've reviewed Exhibits 1 through 11,	
	Q.	All right.	
5	A.	Other than that, that's really the - I	
5	think that	covers everything I've reviewed.	
6	Q.	Okay. You just mentioned you were looking	
	at a trans	cript I believe; is that some employment	
9	transcript		
9	Α.	That's correct.	
0	Q.	Okay. Your background does not include	
	any scient	tific expertise with respect to operation	
1	of a railro	ad, does it?	
3	Α.	No.	
9	Q.	Were you ever involved in investigations	
5	G=147 (1973)	ents for Union Pacific?	

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT DRY HR. MAIAROLD try to coordinate that to meet the needs of the customer. Q. Okay. I mean, you're not out there making cold calls trying to get new customers, are you? We do have customers that we don't do as much business with with -- as others, and so there are times where I will go and have, I guess, a cold call type of a meeting, but we don't go out and just knock on doors without having some prior history with the customer. Q. With respect to what you do and your job position at Union Pacific, am I correct that there is a limited number of potential customers? A. That's correct. Q. And you're not - you're not looking to have -- to start a direct relationship with Coca-Cola or ConAgra, are you, you're looking for the multimodal carriers; is that correct? That's correct at this point, yes. And are most of such multimodal carriers foreign entities? A. Most of them are foreign entities as far as their principal headquarters generally is located overseas, yes.

. BARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAZAROLI) D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAZAROLI) that Union Pacific would provide to such customers 1 once it arrives at the port, or they may subcontract 2 would involve international shipments; is that 2 rail service or truck service to deliver it inland. 3 correct? 3 Q. Okay. So is it your understanding that à International shipments would be the Evergreen Marine Corporation provides multiple 4 5 majority of the business. There are occasions where 5 services, including ocean transport and rail we move a domestic load to help a customer position. 6 6 transport to its customers? 7 a container back to the West Coast, for example. 7 A. That's correct. 8 But most of the shipments, for example, 8 And you -- you and Union Pacific fit in in for a customer such as the co-defendant, Evergreen 9 9 this multimodal scope by providing rail services; is Marine Corporation, would be international that correct? 10 shipments; is that correct? 11 11 A. 12 Most of them would be, yes. 12 Q. All right. How long have you been Okay. What is - who is Evergreen Marine Q. 13 13 involved with Evergreen Marine Corporation. 14 Corporation? personally? 14 15 From my perspective, they are one of our Personally since about 2003. 15 Α. international intermodal customers. We are a vendor 16 16 Q. Okay. And how did that involvement begin, of theirs to provide transportation services to move 17 17 was there a predecessor whose job you took over? marine containers intermodally. IB That's correct. 18 1.9 Okay. And what does intermodal mean? Q. 19 Okay. How long has Evergreen Marine Intermodal is where we -- a customer 20 20 Corporation been a customer of Union Pacific brings us a container to one of our facilities or we 21 Railroad? 21 load it at their facility. A 40-foot container 22 22 A. That I don't know. generally, sometimes 20-foot container, sometimes 23 Q. Okay. Did the relationship start in 2003? 23 24 45-foot containers loaded on a railcar. Then we 24 A. No, it did not, 25 move the - that railcar to one of our terminals via 25 Q. Do you have any idea how long it had been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was your role?

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTEDS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, 1.L.C. (402)558-5000 PAKH4071516-2037

THOMAS : THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CRATTETED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402/256-5000 FAX(402):556-2037

D. BARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MF. MAXABOLI) 1 train service where we unload it onto a chassis and 2 then it's available for outgate by the customer. Q. What does the word intermodal mean, does 3 that mean -- well, what does it mean? 4 That means generally more than one mode of 5 6 transportation. Q. Such as ocean and rail? 7 8 A. From a railroad perspective it's more truck and rail. 9 Q. Okay. But with respect to your 10 11 international customers such as Evergreen, would the word intermodal include ocean -- in the overall 12 sense. I realize that UP, Union Pacific does not 13 own ships, but when it's used in the context of a 14 customer such as Evergreen Marine Corporation, would 15 16 the word intermodal contemplate also ocean carriage? A. I can't be certain of that. I don't know 17 for sure how they would refer to a train move with 18 19 Q. Okay. Well, what is the business of 20 21 Evergreen Marine Corporation? To my knowledge, it's to transport cargo 22 overseas from manufacturer to customer. It 23 generally involves a voyage of some sort over the 24 ocean, and in some cases it can be a local delivery

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MA. MATAROLI) in existence before 2003? A. I know it's been in existence at least ten years from now. Q. Okay. You mentioned that you -- you have two accounts that you are directly responsible for, Costco and Evergreen; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Do you maintain a file for each of those Q. companies? I maintain several files for each one, yes. And what would those files be, what Q. categories? The categories would include contract negotiation material, it would include claims information, it could include some operational information, customer satisfaction survey information, those types of things, With respect to contract negotiation material, are you personally involved or have you been involved with contract negotiations with Evergreen? Yes, I have. Α. Q. And how is that involvement, what exactly

7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

39

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

	F. HARTHANN - DIRECT INT MR. HAZAROLE: 1	8
1	 A. The way when we negotiate a contract, 	
2	it tends to be several layers of marketing involved.	
3	Generally it's vice president, assistant vice	
4	president, and then the account manager which would	
5	be me in this case. So my involvement would be to	
6:	help strategize what our pricing structure is going	
7	to be, what the terms and conditions of the contract	
8	are going to be, communicating that information with	
9	the customer. When we receive their response,	
0	counterproposal, if you will, then we will address	
1	that internally and then try to counter that and go	
2	through the negotiation process in that way.	
3	Q. Okay. Do you get involved with the	
4	wording of contracts with customers such as	
5	Evergreen?	
6	A. I do to some degree. And then it's always	
70	reviewed by our law department prior to submittal to	
8	the customer.	
9	Q. Okay. Do the contracts, if you know, vary	
0	from customer to customer as far as substantive	
1	details, in other words, aside from the rates and	
2	volumes?	
3	A. They vary to some degree between	
4	customers, yes.	
5	Q. Okay. Are you involved in calculation of	

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VISED, L.L.C. (402) 516-3800 FAM(4021356-20)

D. HASTKARN - DISECT (BY MB. MAZAKOLI)

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MARABOLI) You referred to MITA; is that what we have seen in this case as the Master Intermodal 2 3 Transportation Agreement? A. Yes, it is. 4 5 Q. What is that document? 6 That's a document of rules and guidelines 7 that govern intermodal shipments on Union Pacific 8 Railroad. 9 Okay. Has it always been called Master Q. Intermodal Transportation Agreement? 10 A. No, it has not. 11 12 What was it called before it became what we will refer to as MITA, M-I-T-A? 13 Right. I believe it was called Circular 14 15 20. Q. Okay. And was it referred to as anything 16 17 else prior to being referred to as Circular 20? A. Not to my knowledge, but I can't be 18 19 certain. So from Circular 20 -- let me -- first 20 21 there was Circular 20, and then there was the Master Intermodal Transportation Agreement, also known as 22 23 MITA. Did anything come after MITA? Today it's called MITA 2 or MITA 2. 24 A

Okay. When did Circular 20 end?

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REFORTERS
AND CRATTERSD LEGAL VIDEO. L.L.C.
(402)336-3000 FAX(402)356-2001

1 freight charges for a customer such as Evergreen Marine Corporation? 2 A. Yes, I am. 3 4 Q. And how are freight charges negotiated, 5 does UP call the shots or is the customer such as Evergreen insisting on a certain figure? 6 7 A. We negotiate back and forth so we — it would be just like purchasing a vehicle in most B 9 cases where, you know, we have a price in mind, the 10 customer may or may not agree to that price, they may offer us feedback, in which case we take that 11 12 into consideration, and if we have what we would consider room in our pricing, then we may meet that 13 customer's request to try to complete the 14 negotiations. 15 Q. Okay. Have you been involved in drafting 16 Evergreen Marine Corporation's bill of lading terms? 17 A. No, I have not. 18 19 Q. Does Evergreen Marine Corporation's bill of lading terms and conditions, is it relevant to 20 what you do? 21 It's relevant in that our MITA agreement 22 refers to that as far as the ocean bill of lading is 23

D. HABIHARN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAIAMOLY) A. I can't be certain of that. I don't know. Do you know when MITA, M-I-T-A, began? Q. A. No, I don't know that. I don't know the exact date of that, no. Q. All right. Do you know if there was a MITA 1 before MITA 2? I don't know for certain. Α. Q. Okay. Do you know -- can you tell us the basic differences between what you refer to as Circular 20 and the Master Intermodal Transportation Agreement referred to as MITA? From what I know, the document simply is -- evolves over time, and I don't believe there was a major change from Circular 20 to MITA. I believe that was just a name change that we did internally. Q. Okay. Was there a major substantive change from Master Intermodal Transportation Agreement, or MITA, to MITA 2? Not to my knowledge. MR. MAZAROLI: Ms. Reporter, are you getting that; that's M-I-T-A? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I am, BY MR. MAZAROLI: Not to your knowledge?

part of our -- becomes part of our position if you

24

25

1	Α.	No.	1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	And you think that was just a name change	2	Q.	Okay. In April and March and April of
3	as well?		3	2006, wh	ich we'll refer to as the spring of 2006, do
4	Α.	I think so.	4	you recall	If there was any change in title that
5	Q.	Was the name change done in coordination	5	came into	effect at about that time with respect to
6	with Ever	green or did Union Pacific do it on its	6	these Circ	cular 20 or MITA type contracts - not
7	own?		7	contracts,	rules you referred to?
8	Α.	No, that was just done independently.	8	Α.	Not that I'm aware of, no.
9.	Q.	By Union Pacific?	9	Q.	With respect to your day-to-day activities
10	Α.	Correct.	10	with Every	green Marine Corporation, who do you
11	Q.	In other words, Union Pacific doesn't need	11	communic	cate with in the United States?
12	Evergreen	's permission to change Circular 20 or	12	Α.	I communicate with their U.S. headquarters
13	MITA?		13	in Jersey	City, New Jersey.
14	Α.	That's correct.	14	Q.	And is there any individual in particular?
15	Q.	Does Union Pacific send a notice to a	15	A.	There are several. The main individual
16	customer	such as Evergreen for each and every change	16	that I wor	k with is a gentleman by the name of
17	in rules su	ich as Circular 20 or MITA or MITA 2?	1.7	Patrick Ch	nen.
18	Α.	I don't believe a notification is issued.	18	Q.	C-H-E-N?
1.9	We make	a change and then it is updated on our	1.9	Α,	That's correct.
20	website fo	or customers to access.	20	Q.	What is his title?
21	Q.	Okay. And customers would be intermodal	21	A.	I don't know his title. Well, he's I
22	carriers su	ich as Evergreen; is that correct?	22	do know t	his title, I take that back. He's a junior
23	Α.	That would be one base of our customers,	23	vice presid	dent, but I don't know of what division.
24	yes.		24	I think it's	intermodal logistics.
25	Q.	Okay. What was the other base of your	25	0.	And anyone else that comes to mind, sir?

3

4

5

6 7

B 9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

I work with Peter Wan, W-A-N, and he's an

D. HARTHANN - DIRECT (BY HR. MATAROLY)

assistant manager in the logistics division, I work with Herbert Lin, L-I-N. He's a vice president.

		M - DIRECT THY MA, MARAROLI)	23
	customer?		
		MR. HASIAK: David, just for	
3	clarification	, you're asking this question of him	
ŧ.	about our c	urrent practices or the practices in	
5	place at the	time?	
5:		MR. MAZAROLI: Good point. I will try and	
7	clear that u	p. Thank you.	
3		MR. HASIAK: Okay,	
9	BY MR. MA2	AROLI:	
3	Q.	Did your the procedure that you have	
	discussed o	oncerning changes that might be made from	
2	time to time	e in Circular 20, MITA or MITA 2 type	
3	contracts, o	lid that also was that also how it was	
5	done in Mar	rch and April of 2006?	
5	Α.	I can't be certain. I believe that's	
5	true.		
7	Q.	Okay, What was your position with Union	
8	Pacific in M	arch and April of 2006?	
9	Α.	That was - I was a business director	
0	intermodal	at that time, my current position.	
1	Q.	All right. And you had the same	
2	day-to-day	duties you just described; is that right?	
3	A.	Yes, sir.	
4	Q.	Okay. And Evergreen was one of your	
5	direct respo	onsibilities?	

Q. All right. And I work with Roger Chern, C-H-E-R-N. Q. Okay. What type of discussions do you have with these type of people; is it mostly sales, marketing, customer service type issues? A. Yes, it is. Q. Does it ever involve claims? A. Very rarely. Q. Rarely, Okay, A. Yes. Q. During the course of your employment with Union Pacific, have there been any major casualties, such as derailments, involving Evergreen Marine Corporation shipments? A. There have been some, yes. Q. Okay. Do you know them by name of derailment or could you just give us a brief rundown? A. I don't have that information. Q. All right. The present case involves a derailment on or about April 5th, 2006 at Higginson, Arkansas; are you aware of that?

2

3

4

6

B

g

1	Α.	Yes, I am.	
8	Q.	And were you involved in any way with that	
Ü	derailmen	t before today's deposition?	
	Α.	The only involvement I had was I was	
1	copied in	on some of the correspondence between our	
		claims office and Evergreen in regards to	
1	the condit	ion of the containers that they had that	
	were invo	lved in the derailment.	
	Q.	Okay. And you were copied in. Who were	
	those con	nmunications from at UP?	
	Α.	Those were from our claims office in	
4	Palestine.		
	Q.	Okay. And who were they addressed to at	
	Evergreen	7	
	Α.	I know that they were addressed to Patrick	
1	Chen and	Peter Wan, among others, and I can't be	
1	certain wh	no else would have been on that at that	
	time.		
	Q.	Okay. Now, that has to do with damage to	
	equipmen	t; is that correct?	
	Α.	It pertains I believe it's in one of	
2	the exhibit	ts is an example of what I was partial to.	
3	Q.	Okay. I see what you mean.	
	A.	Okay.	
	Q.	Did you receive or transmit any e-mails	

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402):556-1580 FAR(402):56-2017

D. BARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MATAROLI) The derailment at Higginson, Arkansas, did 1 2 that involve a Union Pacific train? 3 Α. Yes, it did. How many Union Pacific trains? 5 One for sure. I don't recall the detail. 6 Q. Okay. The train that derailed, was that train ITIMNX 01? 7. Я A. Let me double check my documents real 9 quick. 10 Yes, sir. 11 Do you know how many intermodal containers 12 were involved in the derailment; in other words, how many containers were damaged or upended in some way? 13 14 MR. HASIAK: And, David, just so we're 15 clear, you're talking containers, not railcars or --MR. MAZAROLI: Thank you, Ray, I'll clear 16 17 that up. 18 MR. HASIAK: Okay. THE WITNESS: What my understanding is is 19 20 the Exhibit 11 is a document that would show all of the containers that were involved in the derailment. 21 22 MR. MAZAROLI: Okay. Let's look at that. 23 Reporter, would you mark Exhibit 11, 24 that's two pages Bates No. A 00026 and A 00027. 25

THOMAS & THUMAS COURT REPORTEDS AND CHRISTED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402)556-5000 FXX(402)556-2037

(Exhibit No. 11

D. SARTWARK - DIRECT (NY HB. MAZAROLI)

D. HARYMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAIAROLI) 27 with text concerning the Higginson, Arkansas 1 2 derailment? A. Not that I can recall, no. 3 Okay. Were you copied in on any communications that discussed the cause of the K derailment? Б No, I was not. Did Evergreen present, to your knowledge, 8 9 any claims to Union Pacific Railroad for damage to equipment? 10 10 A. Not to my knowledge because I'm not 11 11 12 involved in that side of it. 12 Okay. Did Evergreen ask your assistance 13 13 in terms of any particular customer's cargo that was 14 14 involved in this Higginson, Arkansas derailment? 15 15 16 A. . No, sir. 16 3.7 0. Okay. And you at no time attended the 17 derailment site; is that correct? 18 18 Α. That's correct. 19 19 And you did not personally see any of the 20 Q. 20 cargo? 21 21 22 No, I did not. 22 Is it your understanding that with respect 23 23 to the Higginson, Arkansas derailment -- I withdraw 24 24 that question. 25

marked for identification.) BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. Mr. Hartmann, can you identify Exhibit 11? Yes, sir. It's a derailment master spreadsheet identifying the containers involved with the derailment of the ITIMNX 01. 0. Who prepared this document? The claims office in Palestine, Texas. A. Q. That's the claims office of Union Pacific? A. Yes, sir. Okay. Do you know when it was prepared? Q. Α. I do not know the exact date. It would be shortly after the derailment occurred. Okay. I notice on Exhibit 11 the shipper 0. is shown as Evergreen throughout. Was train ITIMNX 01 a dedicated Evergreen train? A. Yes, it was. 0. What does that mean? That means that the train originated -well, was loaded and originated at Evergreen's Marine terminal in Los Angeles, California. Q. Okay. A. And it would carry predominantly Evergreen

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT SEPORTERS AND CESTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402)556-5000 FAX(402)556-2037

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CENTIFIED DEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402)554-5000 EAX(402)554-2037

cargo. There could be occasions where we may put

3

4

5

7

8

9

22

32

13

24

15

16

17

28

19

20

22

24

12.	other customers' cargo on there if there was enough	30 1
2	room, but it tends to be primarily Evergreen when	1199
3	it's a train coming from the marine terminal.	2
4	MR. MAZAROLI: All right. Ms. Reporter,	-3
5	would you mark Exhibit 10, please.	4
6		5
200	(Exhibit No. 10	- 6
7	marked for identification.)	7
8	BY MR. MAZAROLI:	- 6
9	Q. Mr. Hartmann, can you identify Exhibit	9
1.0	No. 10, which is a document paginated 1 through 35	10
11	and entitled Union Pacific Railroad Company train	11
12	list, Issue No. 1?	12
13	A. Yes, I can.	13
14	Q. What is this?	14
15	 This is a Union Pacific train consist 	15
16	showing the makeup of the train, designated train.	16
17	Q. What is the purpose of this document?	17
18	 A. The purpose — well, it's a multi purpose 	18
19	document I'm sure, but it basically identifies	19
20	everything associated with the train as far as	20
21	locomotives, cars, containers, types of things of	21
22	that sort. It's an identifying document of that	22
23	train.	23
24	Q. Am I correct that just scanning through	24
25	the 35 pages, each of the shipments appears to be	25

They would be intermodal containers. Α. intermodal units, yes. Q. And they originated someplace overseas? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So they were international shipments? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And while this train consist that's marked as Exhibit 10 relates to the makeup of the train which moved along the Union Pacific rail route in question, the shipments, the Evergreen shipments In question started someplace overseas; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Who loaded the containers onto the railcars for this train identified in Exhibit 10? That would be Evergreen's marine terminal. Q. Okay. In Los Angeles, sir? A. Yes, sir. Q. And does Evergreen do that on its own or is it in coordination with Union Pacific? A. They do that on their own at the terminal. Okay. Is Union Pacific present when the 0. containers are loaded onto the railcars?

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. HARRHOLL)

that correct?

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REFORTERS AND CERTIFIED LYGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. 14021558-5008 FAX:4021256-2011

THOMAS 4 THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402) 116-5000 PAR(402) 556-2021

	D. HARTM	ANK - DIRECT (BY MR. MAZAROLI) 31
1	identified	as an Evergreen shipment?
2	A.	Doing a quick scan, yes, I would agree,
3	yes, sir.	
4	Q.	Now, you mentioned railcars and you
5	mentioned	d containers, and I note that Exhibit No. 10
6	also refers	s to locomotives.
7	Α.	That's correct.
Ü	Q.	Page 2, for example.
9		Were the containers Union Pacific
0	equipmen	t?
1	A.	No, they are not.
2	Q.	Whose equipment was it?
3	A.	Those would be Evergreen Marine's
4	container	- or equipment.
5	Q.	Okay. Very good. And the railcars, were
6	those Uni	on Pacific's equipment?
7	A.	Those are leased by Union Pacific in most
8	cases.	
9	Q.	All right.
0	Α.	Yes,
11	Q.	And what about the locomotives?
2	Α.	Those are owned or leased by Union
3	Pacific.	
4	Q.	Am I correct that the container numbers
25	referred t	o on the consist were intermodal units; is

D. HARTHAMS - DIRECT (BY MR. MAIABOLE) No, sir. Q. Does Union Pacific verify the load plan for a train such as this one? MR. GUTTERMAN: Are you talking about at the time of the incident? MR. MAZAROLI: In general procedures. THE WITNESS: I can't be certain of that. I do know we - we do air tests, things of that sort, before the train is departed. BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. Okay. In connection with a intermodal train movement such as train ITIMNX 01, who decides what intermodal container goes in which car? A. The -- in this case the marine terminal would decide that. Q. And who is the marine terminal acting for? A. For Evergreen Marine. Q. Okay. Who checks the weight of intermodal containers which are loaded on a train such as ITIMNX 01? MR. HASIAK: Do you know? THE WITNESS: I don't know, no. BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. Do you know the procedure for inspecting containers which are loaded on a Union Pacific train

1	such as I	TIMNX 01?	1	gravity in	to another track or into another train so
	A.	Na, I do nat.	2		build a train, sort a train. We do not
	Q.	Does a dedicated train such as this one	3		ermodal trains by nature.
1	referred t	o in Exhibit 10 move at the direction of	-4	Q.	Why not?
5	your custo	omer Evergreen?	5.5	Α,	Because it can be damaging to goods, the
		MR. GUTTERMAN: Are you talking about	6	jarring co	uld be damaging to goods.
	routing?		7	Q.	When a train such as the train identified
8	BY MR. M	AZAROLI:	E	in Exhibit	10 is loaded by Evergreen, are the
	Q.	One thing would be routing, the other	9		s opened?
	thing wou	ld be speed. What about with respect to	10	Α.	I don't know.
	routing, s	r?	21	Q.	Does Union Pacific ever open containers,
	A.	Union Pacific would be responsible for	12	intermoda	al containers?
	routing th	e train to destination. Evergreen would	13	A.	Yes.
	not have a	any input in that.	14	Q.	When is that?
	Q.	What input would Evergreen have with	15	A.	When they do a spot inspection, random
	respect to	the movement of a train such as ITIMNX	1,6	inspection	they may open a container.
1	017		17	Q.	How often is that done as a matter of
	Α.	They would have involvement as to when	18	procedure	?
	they woul	d release the train to us at the LA	19	Α.	I don't know.
		then the train is ready, and then they	20	Q.	Is it your testimony that Union Pacific
	would call	us to depart the train.	21	can do tha	at whenever it wants?
	Q.	Referring to Exhibit 10, and starting on	22	Α.	Yes, sir,
	Page 4, th	ere is reference to XG077; what is that,	23	Q.	Okay. Exhibit 10 is a document generated
	sir?		24	by Union I	Pacific; is that correct?
	A.	I'm sorry, can you repeat that, please?	25	Α.	Yes, it is,

1	Q.	XG077 is referred to on Page 4 and the
1	following	pages.
	Α.	On Exhibit 10?
:	Q.	Yes, sir.
		MR. GUTTERMAN: The bottom of each of the
	pages you	Ill see many pages where it mentions XG077,
		THE WITNESS: Okay.
	BY MR. M	AZAROLI:
3	Q.	Do you know what that refers to?
	Α,	I believe that's a CIRC seven code
	designatin	ng a location on the railroad.
2	Q.	Okay. And what does TOFC mean?
1	A.	That's an acronym for trailer on flatcar.
	Q.	Okay. And what about LP1A?
ં	A.	I do not know what that is.
5	Q.	Okay. And "do not hump," what does that
	mean?	
	A.	Well, that's a designation that this train
,	is not to g	o into a hump yard to be sorted or
	switched.	
1	Q.	What is a hump yard?
2	Α,	A hump yard is a gravity — it's where you
	take a car	or a train - or train into a yard and
1	they sort	t by gravity so it will — they sort it
5	into vario	us tracks, it's on a hill and it goes by

Q.	A copy given to Evergreen, your customer?	7
Α.	I don't know if a copy was given to	
Evergreen	14	
Q.	Sir, referring back to Exhibit 11, this	
court case	involves shipments carried in containers	
	9381 and container UGMU 8062288; are you	
aware of	that?	
Α.	Yes.	
Q.	And do you know what was contained inside	
those con	tainers when it was carried on Union	
Pacific's to	rain number ITIMNX 01?	
Α.	The commodity description is auto parts.	
Q.		
parts was	?	
A.	I do not.	
Q.	Do you know who ASMO Limited is?	
A.	No, sir, I do not.	
Q.	Do you know who ASMO North Carolina is?	
Α.	No.	
Q.	Does Union Pacific as a matter of	
procedure	at present get involved with Evergreen's	
direct cus	tomers?	
Α,	No, we do not.	
Q.	Union Pacific deals with Evergreen and	

D. WARTHAMP - DIRECT (ST MR. MALAROLI)

Evergreen deals with its customers; isn't that

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

correct?		38
Α.	Yes, sir.	
Q.	And that was true in the spring of 2006 as	
well?		
A.	Yes, it is,	
Q.	Back to Exhibit 11, am I correct that	
container	s UGMU 806228 is mentioned on the first	
page of t	he spreadsheet on line the second line	
907		
A.	Yes, sir.	
Q.	So that container was one of the	
container	s damaged in the derailment?	
Α.	Yes, sir.	
Q.	Okay. And on that line on Page 1 of	
Exhibit 1:	1, the fourth column from the right it	
states "or	side"; what does that mean?	
A.	I believe those are comments that were	
input by t	the claims office as a result of the	
inspection	at the derailment site.	
Q.	Okay.	
A,	So my understanding is that that means the	
container	was on its side at the site.	
Q.	So as a result of the derailment the	
container	turned over or somehow ended up on its	
side?		

O. WARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. HAZAROLI) Exhibit 11, sir, in slot -- the second slot 96, all 2 the way to the left there is reference to container TRIU 555938; do you see that? 3 A. Yes, sir. 4 5 Q. Okay. And then again in the column for that container, fourth column from the right, it 6 also states it's on side, door split open. Does that mean that this container as well as a result of B the derailment was turned over on its side? 9 A. That would be my understanding. 10 Q. And the next column to the right for ü container TRIU 555938 refers to a transload 2 container EMCU 100285; is that correct? A. Yes, sir. Q. So the auto parts in the TRIU container 5 were also transloaded into a replacement container; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And your understanding is that would have been done by or on behalf of Union Pacific? Ö Yes, sir. 2 Q. Okay. And in connection with both of the containers that we -- which are the subject of this court case, is it your understanding that the

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. 1402)556-5000 FAX(402)158-2017

contents of the original container were physically

B. HARTHARD - DIRECT (BY MR. MATAROLI)

removed from the derailment damaged containers into

	D. HARTHARD - DIRE	CT (AY MA. MAIABOLI)	39
1	A. That wou	ıld be my understanding, yes.	
2	Q. And the r	next column to the right there is	
3	reference to another	container number, EMCU 943524	
4	under the column en	titled transfer container. Do	
5	you have an understa	anding as to why that container	
б	is mentioned?		
7	A. That wou	ald be yes. That's because they	
8	would have taken the	e goods from the container that	
9	was damaged and loa	aded those into this container for	
LD	furtherance to destin	ation.	
11	Q. All right.	So the auto parts that were	
12	originally carried in o	ontainer UGMU 806228 were	
13	transloaded into a co	ntainer EMCU 943524; is that	
14	correct?		
1.5	A. That's co	rrect.	
16	Q. And who	did that?	
17	A. I don't kr	now who did that.	
LB	Q. Okay. Is	it your understanding that it	
19	was done by or on be	ehalf of the railroad, Union	
10	Pacific?		
21	A. That's my	y understanding, yes.	
22	Q. And that	s part of the what we call post	
13	derailment procedure	e; isn't that correct?	
24	A. I would a	agree, yes.	
25	Q. All right.	Thank you. The second page of	

replacement containers for on carriage? That would be my understanding, yes. And that would involve physically handling the cargo from the damaged container and placing it inside the replacement container? A. Yes, it would. Q. Now, referring to Exhibit 10, I'll guide you through this, at Page 27 of Exhibit 10, top third, there is reference to container UGMU 806228. Α. Yes, sir. Okay. And that is one of the two containers of auto parts which are the subject of this court case; is that correct? Α. That's correct. And that container, according to Exhibit 10, the consist, was carried on train ITIMNX 01? That's correct. That train derailed at Higginson, Q. Arkansas; is that correct? A. Yes, sir. Now, Page 29 of Exhibit 10, sir, second line from the top --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

74

35

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q.	there is reference to container TRIU	
555938;	do you see that?	
A.	Yes, sir.	
Q.	And that is the second container of auto	
parts wh	ich is the subject of this lawsuit; is that	
correct?		
A.	That's correct.	
Q.	And according to this consist we've marked	
as Exhib	it 10, that shipment of auto parts was also	
carried o	n train ITIMNX 01; is that correct?	
A,	Yes, it is,	
Q.	And train ITIMNX 01 derailed at Higginson,	
Arkansas	;; is that correct?	
A.	That's correct,	
Q.	And both of those two shipments of auto	
parts sus	stained some form of damage as a result of	
the dera	lment; is that your understanding?	
A.	Yes, sir.	
Q.	Is this — Exhibit 10, it's a regular	
business	record of Union Pacific Railroad?	
Α.	It's more used in our operations side,	
Q.	But a consist is something that's	
generate	d when there is such an intermodal train as	
this one		
Α.	Yes, sir.	

D. BARTHARN - DIRECT (BY MB. HAZAROLI) Well, I know the information is in here 2 but I'm not real familiar with it. I believe it to 3 be 147. I'm just referring to Page 32. The total says 147 at the top. I believe that's a container 4 Б 6 Q. I see. Thank you. And that would be Evergreen intermodal containers? Based on my scan of this document, yeah, if they are all Evergreen, yes. 0 Q. You are aware that Evergreen provides 1 transportation services for companies in the automotive industry in the United States; are you aware of that? A. Yes, sir. Q. And how are you aware of that? I'm aware of it through various communications I've had, phone calls, things like

Q. Okay. Does the fact that Evergreen has customers in the automotive industry come into play with respect to scheduling purposes for a dedicated Evergreen train?

 A. We don't do anything special because of the fact that they carry auto parts unless they would ask us to do something.

> THOMAS & THOMAS COURT BEPORTERS AND CERTIFIED ABOAL VISEO, L.S.C. 1402:556-5000 EAR(402)054-2031

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MATAROLI) How many cars did train ITIMNX 01 have at 1 the time of the derailment; can you tell from 2 Exhibit 10, sir? 3 4 I cannot tell, no. Q. Okay. How many containers was it 5 E carrying? And I direct your attention to Page 32. 7 A. Yes There is some figures there, Q. 8 Α. 9 Right. It says at the bottom, summary of car 16 0. types, 97 double stack and one spine. 11 12 A .. Right. What is a double stack car? 13 Double stack car is an intermodal car that 14 allows for loading of two containers, one on top of 15 another. 16 Does each car carry a total of two 40-foot 17 units, one stacked on top of the other? 18 That's a - that would be a perfect what 19 we consider slot utilization, yes, but it could also 20 carry two, 20-foot containers on the bottom well and 21 one 40-foot container on the top. 22 Q. All right. Got you. And how many 23 24 containers were - intermodal containers were carried on this train, can you tell from this? 25

D. BARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MALAROLI) In other words, the concept of just in time inventory for automotive assemblers or manufacturers, such as Toyota, for example, does that synchronize just in time inventory procedure relevant for Union Pacific's scheduling purposes? If Evergreen asked us for a specific schedule to try to obtain or design a specific schedule to assist them in that effort, then we would make our best effort to do so. Q. Would you speed up a train because a ship is late? A. My -- I believe that answer to be no. All right. Referring to Page 30 of Exhibit 10, at the top there is reference to high value loads? A. Yes, sir. What does that refer to? Well, I can't be certain on the consist. Α. That's the first time I've seen that. I can tell you what I know of a program we have that handles high value loads if that would be helpful. Q. No, I was -- what I was focusing on was who would provide the information for the consist as to whether or not they are high value loads?

I can't be certain of that.

A.

Right.

	ANN - DIRECT (HT MR. HAZARULI)	45
Q.	Okay. What information does Evergreen	
	n Pacific before a train such as ITIMNX 01	
is assemb	oled?	
Α,	They would give us information required by	
our MITA	rules on a rail waybill.	
Q.	Okay. What is a rail waybill?	
Α.	That's a I would describe it as a set	
of a se	t of information that is submitted by the	
customer	to us designating container number,	
commodi	ty code, various pieces of information that	
instruct u	s on what to do with that container.	
Q.	Okay.	
Α.	How to move that container.	
	MR. MAZAROLI: Ms. Reporter, would you	
mark Exh	bit 6 and Exhibit 7, each of which are two	
pages.		
	(Exhibit Nos. 6 - 7	
	marked for identification.)	
BY MR. M	AZAROLI:	
Q.	Mr. Hartmann, are you doing okay? If you	
ever wan	to take a break, tell us.	
A.	Thank you. I'm okay right now.	
Q.	Exhibit 6, can you identify that?	
Α.	This is the first time I've seen this, so	
I'm not fa	miliar with it, no.	

AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.E.C. |402|556-9000 FAX(402)556-2017

D. BARTMANN - DIBECT (BY MK. MARANOLI) 1 Yes. MR. MAZAROLI: Okay, Let's mark as 2 3 Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9 the two documents so designated, Ms. Reporter. 4 (Exhibit Nos. 8 and 9 5 6 marked for identification.) 7 BY MR. MAZAROLI: 3 Q. Mr. Hartmann, can you identify Exhibit 2 No. 8, Bates No. A00131? 10 A. Yes. This is a -- what we call an online cycle inquiry. 12 12 Q. And what about Exhibit 9, which is Bates No. A00128; is that also an online cycle inquiry? 13 14 A. Yes, sir. 15 Q. And would you tell us what these documents 16 are? These documents are what we consider a 17 trace or the movement record of a container on the 18 19 railroad. Q. I see. It's kind of a tracking record? 20 21 A. Yes, it is. Q. And am I correct that Exhibit 8 refers to 22 container TRIU 555938? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24

> And it refers to a WB NUMB 530157? THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIES LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. 1402)556-5008 FAX(402)556-1033

D. WARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MATAKOLI)

7.1		What about Exhibit 7?	47
1	Q.		
2	Α.	Same, I'm not aware of this is not a	
3		I see in my day-to-day job.	
4	Q.	Can you identify what a the waybill you	
5	just menti	oned looks like?	
6	A.	Well, we receive waybills from Evergreen	
7	via EDI		
3	Q.	Okay.	
9	Α.	a transmittal, so that's I've seen	
10	what that	looks like. I believe this is a rail	
11	waybill.		
12		MR. HASIAK: You believe or you know it?	
13		THE WITNESS: I don't know that for sure.	
14:	BY MR. M	AZAROLI:	
1.5	Q.	All right, sir, if you don't know.	
16		Exhibit 6 pertains to container TRIU	
17	555938, a	nd Exhibit 7 contains pertains to	
18	container	UGMU 806228; do you see that?	
19	Α.	Yes.	
20	Q.	And those are the two shipments of auto	
21	parts we v	were previously discussing which are the	
22	subject of	this court case?	
23	Α.	Correct.	
24	Q.	Is it your understanding that Union	
25	70=3.185	nerated waybills for these two shipments?	

Q. What --2 3 Α. That's abbreviation for waybill number. Q. So that's a further indication that a 4 waybill was generated for container TRIU 555938? 5 5 Yes. WB date; is that the waybill date? 7 Q. Yes, sir. ä A .. Q. That's March 29, 2006? 9 10 Uh-huh. MR. HASIAK: What did you say? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, 32 13 BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. Okay. And Exhibit 9, that's the same 14 similar information, a waybill number 530159, refer to for container UGMU 806228? 16 17 And it also has a WB date of 03/29/06? Q. 18 That's correct. 19 MR. HASIAK: David? 20 MR. MAZAROLI: Yes, sir. 21 MR. HASIAK: I'm not the court reporter, 22 but you're going through these numbers pretty fast. 23 MR. MAZAROLI: I'm sorry, Ms. Reporter. 24

1	BY MR. M	AZAROLI:	1	you just described, that's not something that's
2	Q.	This is - Exhibits 8 and 9 are electronic	2	issued to the manufacturer of cargo carried in the
3	document	, electronic printouts of electronic	- 3	container, is it?
4	data; is th	nat correct?	4	A. Union Pacific would not issue that, no.
5	Α.	Yes, sir.	5	Q. Okay. But it is a Union Pacific document?
G.	Q.	So they stay in the EDI system	6	A. The internal waybill that we generate is
7	electronic	ally; is that correct?	7	an internal document, yes.
B	Α.	These documents are not part of our EDI	8	Q. So in this case the Union Pacific
9	system,	These are electronic documents in another	9	electronic waybill covered the intended rail
1.0	Union Pac	ific system that keeps track of that	1.0	carriage from California to North Carolina; is that
11	Informatio	on.	11	correct?
12	Q.	All right. But they are in the normal	12	MR. HASIAK: David, I'm sorry, I'm just
13	course sto	ored electronically and can be viewed on a	13	not clear on your question. When you say "cover,"
14	computer	screen?	14	do you mean it set up the route?
15	A.	Yes, sir.	15	MR. MAZAROLI: Well, I mean it pertained
1,6	Q.	Are they usually printed out if all goes	16	to.
17	as planne	d and there is no damage or derailment?	17	MR. HASIAK: Pertained in?
18:	Α,	Generally not, no.	18	MR. MAZAROLI: In other words, it was not
19	Q.	And is that the same with respect to a	19	for a segment of the rail transportation, the
20	waybill?		20	electronic waybill is generated by Union Pacific
21	A.	That's correct.	21	covered the entire rail transportation.
22	Q.	Or is the waybill is generated	22	MR. HASIAK: Covered the route of the
23	electronic	ally; is that correct?	23	transportation?
24	Α.	I can't be certain as to what the how	24	MR. MAZAROLI: In other words, it was a
25	that wh	at that process is, I know we receive the	25	document that pertained to the time Evergreen loads

1	waybill electronically from Evergreen and it's kept	51	the containers onto the railcars to the time the
2	electronically in our system.	2	containers are delivered are unloaded from the
3.	Q. All right. You don't know what such a	3	railcar at destination.
4	waybill looks like?	4	MR. HASIAK: I'm a little unclear and a
1	A. An EDI version of the waybill?	5	little uneasy because I think what the testimony has
б	Q. Yes, sir.	6	been so far is that
7	A. I do know what that looks like, yes.	7	MR. MAZAROLI: Let's just say you have an
8	Q. Okay. What information would be on it?	8	objection to the form, right?
9	 There would be the container number, 	9	MR. HASIAK: Okay.
10	generally the weight, the seal number, the pricing	10	MR. MAZAROLI: Okay, Let me see if I can
11	document that we are to refer to when pricing the	11	rephrase it.
12	container, origin, destination. Those are probably	12	BY MR. MAZAROLI:
13	the main components.	13	Q. Referring to Exhibits 8 and 9, the online
14	Q. All right. And that is a waybill which	14	cycle inquiry, sir, what is the R well, withdraw
15:	governs the entire rail transportation during the	15	that.
16	domestic leg of the multimodal transport; is that	16	Okay. Let's move on.
17	correct?	17	MR. MAZAROLI: Ms. Reporter, would you
18	 Those are the instructions that we receive 	18	mark Exhibits 1 and 2, both - one, two and - one
19	from the customer telling us what their wishes are	19	and two, both under the Evergreen logo.
20	with that container to move intermodally, yes.	20	(Exhibit Nos. 1 - 2
21	Q. And the customer in the case of Exhibits 8	21	marked for identification.)
22	and 9 and the two containers we've been discussing	22	BY MR. MAZAROLI:
23	would be Evergreen Marine Corporation?	23	Q. Okay. Mr. Hartmann, can you identify the
24	A. Yes, sir.	24	document that's been marked as Exhibit 1?
25	Q. This waybill that electronic waybill	25	 Identified as an Evergreen Marine Sea

1	Waybill,	1	A. No, sir.
2	Q. Okay. And it's dated March 14, 2006 on	2	Q. The place of receipt in Exhibit 1 is
3	the bottom; is that correct, in the little stamp,	3	referred to as Shimizu, CY, and the port of loading
4	circle stamp?	4	is referred to as Shimizu, Japan; do you see that?
5	A. Oh, yes, yes, sir.	.5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. And have you seen this before?	-6	Q. And there is a port of discharge shown as
7	A. I've seen this only in that it was given	7	Los Angeles, California; do you see that, sir?
8	to me as an exhibit for this deposition.	8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q. Okay. But in connection with your job	9	Q. And finally a place of delivery in
10	experience, your day-to-day activities, you've seen	10	Statesville, North Carolina?
11	an Evergreen Sea Waybill before, haven't you?	11	A. Yes,
12	A. We do not get this information, no.	1.2	Q. Is it your understanding there is also
11	Q. So in the normal course of Union Pacific's	13	an ocean vessel, Ever Union.
14	business, you do not receive when handling when	14	Looking at this document, Exhibit 1, is it
15	providing rail transportation services for Evergreen	15	your understanding that with respect to the
16	Marine Corporation shipments, you do not see the	2.6	description contained herein this was what we call a
17	Evergreen bill of lading?	17	through bill of lading?
18	MR. HASIAK: Let me object to the form.	28	MR. HASIAK: I object to foundation. He's
19	When you say "you," do you mean you, Dan Hartmann,	19	told you he's never seen this document before.
20	or you, Union Pacific?	20	MR. MAZAROLI: And he's also said he's
21	BY MR. MAZAROLI:	21	seen other documents in the course of his duties.
22	Q. All right. Well, first you, Dan Hartmann.	22	BY MR. MAZAROLI:
23	A. No.	23	Q. Do you know what a through bill of lading
24	Q. Okay. To your knowledge, does anyone at	24	is, sir?
25	Union Pacific receive such Evergreen Sea Waybills	25	A. We - I know what a through bill is,

D. HARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAZAHOLI)

2 Α. 3 4 5 É destination. A. A. Yes. A.

It's a bill that we receive from a shipper that asks us to take a container at origin and deliver it to a carrier. In most cases it's an eastern rail carrier for continuance to the final Q. But you're not familiar with whatever a multimodal through bill of lading would be? I'm not familiar with that, no. With respect to the shipments we have been discussing, the shipment of auto parts carried in containers which are also identified on Exhibit 1, is it your understanding that those shipments moved in multiple modes of transportation, in other words, ocean and rail carriage? Q. Okay. And how do you know that? Because it was loaded at the marine terminal in Los Angeles off of a vessel. Q. And how do you know that? A. We received billing to move it from their marine terminal. Okay. So you're familiar based on your normal course of activities with Evergreen that when it has multimodal shipments that you're

D. MARTMANN - DIRECT (BY HR. MALABOUT)

What is that?

55 1 during the course of providing transportation services for such shipments? 2 A. Not to my knowledge. 3 Q. So the Evergreen Sea Waybill for a 4 particular intermodal shipment is not relevant to Union Pacific? 5 MR, HASIAK: Dave, come on, I'll object B to form and foundation. 9 BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. Okay. Have you seen -- you are familiar 10 11 with what a bill of lading is for multimodal transportation, aren't you? 12 A. Yes. 13 Okay. And you've seen other bills of 14 lading not necessarily Evergreen; is that correct? 15 16 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, Exhibit 1, for example, do you 17 see the top left as identification of a shipper, a 18 consignee and a notifying party? 19 Α. 20 Yes: Q. The shipper, ASMO Limited, do you know who 21 that is? 22 No, I do not. 23 Q. The consignee is ASMO North Carolina; do 24 you know who that is? 25

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (403) ERS-5000 FAX(402) 556-2037

THOMAS 4 THOMAS COURT REFORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. |402|518-5000 FAX(402)554-2933

ſ	The second second second	ANN - DIRACT (AT MR. HAZARGLI)	58
1		ng, they usually involve an ocean leg	
3		ore Union Pacific carries the shipment or	
3		n Pacific carries the shipment; is that	
4.	correct?		
5		Usually, yes.	
6	Q.	Okay. And the shipments of auto parts	
7		containers TRIU 5559381 and UGMU 8062288	
9	moved ab	oard an ocean vessel before they reached the	
5	marine ter	rminal at Los Angeles; is that correct?	
0	Α.	That's what I would - I would agree, yes.	
1	Q.	Okay. And at Los Angeles, California the	
2	shipment	was the shipments referred to in	
3	Exhibit 1,	the two containers we've been discussing,	
4	was then	transferred from an Evergreen ship to Union	
5	Pacific for	rail carriage to Statesville, North	
6	Carolina;	s that correct?	
7	Α.	It was we received instruction to move	
В	it from Lo	s Angeles to Memphis, Tennessee.	
9	Q.	Okay.	
0	Α,	And then interchange it to the Norfolk	
1	Southern,	and then they would carry it to, I	
2	believe, C	harlotte, North Carolina.	
3	Q.	And where does the derailment occur?	
4	Α.	In Arkansas, Higgins	
5	Q.	All right.	

D. HARTHANN - DIRECT THY MR. MATAROLIS That transferred the load to Memphis? 2 Q. Yeah. I mean, it was a Union Pacific locomotive? 3 To Memphis, yes. 4 Q. For the benefit of the Court, the 5 6 derailment occurred before the shipment reached 7 Memphis; is that correct? 8 That's correct. Okay. So the derallment in question 9 0. happened while it was being carried by Union 10 Pacific? 11 12 A. That's correct. Sir, Exhibit 2 is before you. It is also 13 0. a Sea Waybill, an Evergreen Sea Waybill for the two 14 subject shipments which has certain additional 15 16 information, and, as you can see, is not signed on 17 the right. Do you see the -- have you seen this document before? TR Α. 19 Q. Okay. Exhibit 2, the bottom third there 20 21 is freight and charges information; do you see that? A. Ido. 22 23 Q. Was Union Pacific involved in formulating those freight charges? 24

THOMAD & THOMAS COURT BERSHESD AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. [402]1556-5900 FAX(402)536-2011

I'm not sure I understand.

D. HARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAIABOLI)

A.

D. MARTHANN - DIRECT (DE MR. HALARDLI) Α. Higginson. 1 Q. And that was for the final delivery in 2 North Carolina? 3 4 A. Final delivery, yes, in North Carolina. Q. Okay, Did Union Pacific bill Evergreen ŝ for the entire rail transport from Los Angeles to 6 North Carolina for the two subject shipments? A. No. 8 Q. Why not? 9 Because international -- our rules internally are that we bill customers for the 17 portion of the movement that we are responsible for, 3.2 which would be from Los Angeles to Memphis. 13 Q. Okay. And who bills the rest? 14 A. The Norfolk Southern would have billed the 15 second piece. 16 Q. Is that done in any particular document? 17 16 Can you restate that question, I'm not sure I understand that? 15 Q. Does Norfolk Southern send a bill to 20 Evergreen directly? 21 I can't - I can't answer that. 22 Q. Okay. But the train that transported the 23 load was still a Union Pacific train; is that 24

Well -- go ahead, sir. 1 I can say that we are responsible for 2 providing Evergreen what the intermodal rate is for 3 4 the piece that we handle. Q, Okay. 5 How that is incorporated into the Sea 6 Α. Waybill I can't - I don't know. Q. Okay. So, in other words, Union Pacific 8 does not - withdraw that. .9 Is it your understanding that Union 10 Pacific bills Evergreen for the rail transport that 10 Union Pacific provides, and Evergreen then bills its 12 own customer, such as ASMO in this case? 13 A. That would be my understanding. 14 Q. Okay. So Union Pacific does not 15 communicate its freight charges for the rail 16 transport directly to Evergreen's customers, such as 17 ASMO; is that correct? IB 19 A. That is correct. MR. MAZAROLI: Would you mark Exhibit 2B, 20 Ms. Reporter, which is Bates No. A00158 to A00171. 21 (Exhibit No. 2B 22 marked for identification.) 23 24 BY MR. MAZAROLI: Sir, can you identify Exhibit 2B? 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

3

A.	It's the back of the Sea Waybill,	62
	And how do you know that?	
A.	I know that because of the some of the	
	we've had internally regarding the	
	nts of the exhibits,	
The second second	Okay. In other words, in preparation for	
	eposition?	
A.	Correct.	
	But other than in connection with this	
1,000	e, can you identify this document?	
A.	No.	
Q.	Okay. This is not a document the	
	n terms and conditions are not within your	
	e on a day-to-day basis; is that correct?	
Α,	As a marketing representative of Union	
Pacific, n	o, they are not in - I don't come into	
knowledg	e of this in my position.	
Q.	Okay. Do you know, does Union Pacific	
you ment	ioned that you have files for customers such	
as Evergr	een, and one of those files includes	
contract i	negotiations. What contract negotiations	
were you	referring to in that testimony?	
A.	I've negotiated contracts for Costco as	
well as E	vergreen.	
Q.	What contract do you mean by that, is	

call it DER-UP-C-913-A. Q. And what is that type of contract called? A. It's an exempt rail transportation agreement. MR. MAZAROLI: All right. Let's mark Exhibits 3 and 4, Ms. Reporter. (Exhibit Nos. 3 - 4 marked for identification.) BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. Can you identify Exhibit 3? Yes, I can. Exempt rail transportation agreement between Evergreen America Corporation. Evergreen Marine Corporation, Lloyd Triestion, Hatsu Marine and Union Pacific Railroad Company. Q. And it's Bates numbered A003 through A00016; is that correct? A. That's correct. O. And what is Exhibit 4? Δ. Exhibit 4 is the first addendum to exempt rail transportation agreement between Union Pacific and Evergreen. The purpose of that agreement is to amend the term. Q. Okay. And were you involved in the negotiation of Exhibit 3? No, sir.

D. BERTMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MATAROLI)

AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDED, L.L.C. (402)5346-3000 PAX(402)536-2007

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDRO, L.L.C. 14021556-5000 EAX(4021556-1837

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (MY MR. MATAROSI)

D. HABTHANN - DISECT (BY MB. MAIAROLI) 63 there a title to it? 2 A. Yes. Q. And what's it called? 3 Well, I negotiated the - one of the A. 4 exhibits in this testimony. 5 Q. Which one is that? E 7 A. I negotiated the extension agreement. Q. Okay. я 9 Which is dated October 8 of 2003. 10 Q. All right. Α. I have some others, but I don't have 11 11 those - that information with me. 12 32 Q. And what are those others? 13 13 Like we negotiated a new contract with 24 14 15 Evergreen in 2006 and a contract with Costco in 2006. 16 16 Q. When in 2006 with Evergreen? 17 17 The effective date is May 1st of 2006. 18 A. 38 Q. Okay. The extension agreement you just 19 referred to, are you referring to the addendum to a 20 20 previously existing agreement? 21 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 22 O. And what is the previously existing 23 23 24 agreement? 24 The previous existing agreement is - we 25 25

Is that because it was in effect before you took your present position? That's correct. Α. Q. Exhibit 3 is basically an agreement between Union Pacific on one hand and the Evergreen companies on the other; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. In Paragraph 1, the warranty includes a reference that the customer, Evergreen, controls the routing of the shipments covered under the agreement; do you see that? Yes. A. Q. What does that mean? I don't know --Α. Q. Okay. A. - legally what that means. Q. All right. And Exhibit 3, the scope of the services, it states in the first sentence, Railroad services provided hereunder shall be container double stack car and container conventional flatcar transportation and related operations. What are those two categories? A. Well, that just covers the car types that

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED ABOAL WINED, L.L.C. 14021356-3000 FAX(4021556-203)

THOMAS & THOMAS COORT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402) 555-5000 FAX(402) 556-2037

we would utilize to provide rail transportation

i		ANN - DIRECT (NY HR. HATARDLI)	66
I	services.		
1	Q.	Okay. In Paragraph 4, the third — the	
		the third subsection of that	
	paragraph	, International cargo.	
	Α,	Yes,	
	Q.	It states, International cargo shall refer	
	to cargo v	which has a point outside the continental	
	U.S Un	ited States as its ultimate point of	
	origin or o	destination.	
	Α.	Yes.	
4	Q.	Okay. And that description would cover	
	the shipm	ents of auto parts carried in the two	
1	containers	we have been discussing; is that correct?	
	Α.	That's correct.	
	Q.	There is reference in Exhibit 3 to an	
	Exhibit A,	transportation rate.	
	Α.	Yes.	
	Q.	Do you have that document with you?	
	Α.	I do.	
1	Q.	And you have it in the room?	
	Α.	I do, yes.	
	Q.	How many pages is it?	
3	Α.	One page.	
		MR. MAZAROLI: I called for production of	
	that. I fa	ked, my office.	

AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (407):556-5000 FAX(407):56-2037

D. HANTHAUN - SIRECT (BY MR. MAERROLI) rates for. Q. In other words, it covers the corridors that Evergreen is interested in? That's correct. O. And is there a -- I'm not interested in the amounts so much as what it contains. Is there for each corridor a rate? A. Yes, there is. Q. And is there for each corridor a rate for a different type of shipment, or is there only one It is - there are three types of rates, They are all considered international rates. They all pertain to what we call freight all kinds, F-A-K. We have eastbound loaded, westbound loaded, and westbound empty. Q. Okay. Those are the three categories? A. Yes, sir. Q. And freight all kinds, I mean, that would include auto parts? A. It would, Q. Okay. As well as many other things; is that correct? Α. Right.

> Any other categories contained on that THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CRATIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402)556-5000 TAX(602)556-2017

D. HARTHAND - DIRECT (BY MR. MATAROLE) 67 MR. GUTTERMAN: I registered an objection as the rates are being -- considered to be 2 confidential. It would also require approval of 3 release of this information from Evergreen; is that 4 correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 6 MR. MAZAROLI: Okay. Why is that 7 information confidential? H MR. HASIAK: Are you asking Barry? 4 MR. MAZAROLI: No, I'm asking the witness. 10 THE WITNESS: It's confidential to --3.3 because we want to protect the market. We don't 12 want the information being disclosed to the 13 marketplace. 14 BY MR. MAZAROLI: 15 Q. Okay. In other words, you don't want your 16 competitors to find out, for example? 17 That would be our primary concern, yes. 18 Q. Are these rates - I mean, you said it's 19 one page; is that correct? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. Does that cover the entire Union Pacific 22 system insofar as Evergreen movements? 23 A. It covers the origin, destination pairs 24

D. HARTHANN - DIRECT (BY HR. MATAROLI)

Exhibit A?

A. No, sir.

Ö.

Q. And when I say Exhibit A, I mean Exhibit A referred to in Deposition Exhibit 3.

So basically there are three categories of rates, one eastbound loaded, one westbound loaded and the other empties did you say?

- A. Right.
- Okay. And there is no choice of rates offered to Evergreen within those three categories, is there?

MR. HASIAK: Do you understand the question?

THE WITNESS: Could you clarify that? I think I know what you're asking, but could you clarify that, please?

BY MR. MAZAROLI:

- I'm asking you just to describe what's on that document, the Exhibit B to Exhibit 3 - Exhibit A to Exhibit 3.
 - Α.
- The three categories you just described, eastbound loaded shipments, westbound loaded shipments and empty shipments, for the various corridors described therein, there is no other rate

1

2

a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	described in that document, is there, for each of	
ı	those categories?	
l	A. No.	
	MR. MAZAROLI: Okay. Is there any reason	
	we can't have that produced, Mr. Hasiak and	
	Mr. Gutterman, without the prices? I'm not	
	interested in the amounts.	
	MR. HASIAK: Yeah, I think we can confer	
	internally, but if we just redacted the pricing	
	amounts, I'm not sure there would be any problem	
	with that,	
	MR. MAZAROLI: Okay. In other words,	
	there is one price for each of those categories for	
	each corridor; is that correct?	
	MR. HASIAK; It's a grid.	
	THE WITNESS: Yeah, if I could darify.	
	You know, for example, if you look at eastbound	
	loaded, sir, there is a 20-foot rate.	
	BY MR. MAZAROLI:	
	Q. Right,	
	 And there is a 40-foot, 45-foot rate. 	
	Q. Okay,	
	 A. Okay. So, for example, from Los Angeles 	
	to Chicago	
	Q. Right,	

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT BEYONTERS AND CERTIFIED LEWAR VIDEO, L.L.C. (402)556-1000 FAX(402)256-2037

Page 18 of 25 D. HARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MH. MANAROLI) Let me approach this from another way. 2 Mr. Hartmann. MR. HASIAK: While we're on this, why 3 don't we take a ten minute break. MR. MAZAROLI: Thank you, E (10:42 a.m. - Recess taken.) BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. Does that Exhibit A referred to in Paragraph 4 of Deposition Exhibit 3 only refer to International intermodal shipments? Α. Yes. Okay. In other words, the transportation rates pertaining to the exempt rail transportation agreement do not apply to domestic shipments? A. Correct. Q. Okay. The rates are only for international shipments such as the shipments of auto parts that we -- which are the subject of this court case; is that correct? Α. Yes Q. All right. One moment. And is there a provision of the contract, the agreement that's marked as Deposition Exhibit 3 which refers to

> THOMAS & THOMAS COURT BEFORESS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VISEO, L.L.C. (402) 558-5000 FAX(402) 556-2037

D. HARTHARN - DIRECT (ET ME. MARAHOLI)

A. — you would have an eastbound — you would have two loaded eastbound rates, one for 20 foot, one for 40/45, but that would be their only choice for a eastbound loaded rate from Los Angeles to Chicago.

Q. Okay. In other words, for a 40-foot container there would only be one rate stated in that schedule? A 40-foot container moving from Los Angeles to Memphis in April and May and — March and April of 2006?

A. Correct.

1

2

3.

4

Ę

ť.

7

п

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

22

24

25

Q. There would only be one rate designated on Exhibit 8 — Exhibit A of Exhibit 3 for such an eastbound loaded 40-foot container?

A. That is correct.

MR. MAZAROLI: Okay. Yeah, I do call for production of that exhibit with the understanding that you will redact, for example, block out the amounts of the freight charges. I understand your concerns.

MR. HASIAK: As I indicated, we'll confer internally and I'll confirm that's okay. Right now I don't think there will be any problem, but for now the objection stands.

MR. MAZAROLI: Thank you.

D. BARTHARN - DIRECT (BY MR. HAIRPOLL)

confidentiality of the transportation rates?

A. I don't know.

43

Q. Is it your understanding that Evergreen would not in the normal course send the list of transportation rates referred to in Paragraph 4 to its customers?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. I mean, is it your understanding that Evergreen bills its own customers for the transportation stage of multimodal transports, such as the shipments in question, at a higher rate than Union Pacific charges Evergreen?

A. I don't know.

Q. Do you understand Evergreen to be a for profit company?

A. Yes.

Q. So it would not be unusual for Evergreen to make a profit on the transportation services it bills its customers?

MR. GUTTERMAN: Objection as to form and relevancy.

BY MR. MAZAROLI:

Q. Is that correct, sir?

A. It's not unreasonable.

Q. No. I mean, let's — I'm not trying to be argumentative, but Union Pacific is in the business of providing transportation services for a profit;

2

3

4

5

E

7

E

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

75

D. HANTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAZAROLI) isn't that correct? 1 2 A. That's correct. Okay, And Evergreen provides 3 0. 4 transportation services to its customers, including the services which Union Pacific performs during the 5 rail stage of international intermodal shipments; is G that correct? 7 8 Α. Yes. 9 MR. HASIAK: Mr. Mazaroli asked to know if anybody entered the room. MR. MAZAROLI: Hi, Mr. Hasiak. 11 MR. HASIAK: Hi. I asked one of our law 12 13 clerks if she was interested in listening into this deposition, so she's in -- she's currently in the 14 room with us. 15 16 MR. MAZAROLI: All right. Let's just put 17 her name on the record. THE COURT REPORTER: Ma'am, what is your 18 19 name, please. MS. CIRONE: Liz Cirone, C-I-R-O-N-E. 20 21 BY MR. MAZAROLI: 22 All right. Sir, when you negotiated -you testified that Exhibit 4 is an extension of the 23 24 agreement that we marked as Exhibit 3; is that correct? 25

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED EBOAL VIDEO, L.I.C. (402:236-5000 FAX(402:516-2037

D. WARTHAMS - DIRECT (BY MB) MAXAROLIS The rate levels were different, but the structure of Exhibit A other than the rate levels stayed the same.

Q. Okay. So the structure in that you have the categories for loaded eastbound and loaded westbound and empty which varied only for the size of container that you described in detail previously was the same structure at the time of the derailment in question; is that correct?

That's correct.

What do you have in front of you, the exhibit with transportation rates that was in effect after the addendum took effect or for the earlier agreement?

A. I have two versions. I have the version that was in the original agreement effective January 15th, 2002, and I have a version just with the rate levels that were in effect in April of 2006

Q. You say the only difference between those two is the dollar amounts?

MR. HASIAK: I object to the form when you say these two.

MR. MAZAROLI: Well, those two schedules, two -

TEOMAS : THOMAS COURT REPORTSHE AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402)556-5000 EAX(402)556-2337

MR. HASIAK: They are two multi-page

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (SY MM. MARABOLE) Α.

That's correct.

Q. You negotiated Exhibit 4?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

22

23

24

25

And Exhibit 4 essentially, other than as contained in the two pages marked as Exhibit 4, carries forward the terms of the agreement as stated in Exhibit 3; is that correct?

That's correct.

Is there a - the transportation rates referred to in Paragraph 4 of Exhibit 3, did that change when the first addendum to exempt rail transportation agreement marked as Exhibit 4 took effect?

MR. GUTTERMAN: Objection. There is absolutely no relevancy of the question, but he can go ahead and answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Exhibit 4 simply extended, like you said, the agreement, Exhibit B -- Exhibit 3 as it's stated. The rate document, Exhibit A, stayed intact.

BY MR. MAZAROLI:

Q. Okay. So the rate document, Exhibit A, that you and your counsel have indicated is confidential, that was the same at the time of the derailment in April of 2006; is that correct?

D. HARTHARN - DIRECT (SY HR: MADAROLI)

documents, so I'm not sure your question is clear.

MR. MAZAROLI: I thought the first one he

referred to is one page; is that correct,

Mr. Hartmann?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

MR. HASIAK: The exhibit?

THE WITNESS: Exhibit A. Is it just

Exhibit A you're referring to, sir?

MR. MAZAROLI: Yes, sir, the

transportation rates.

MR, HASIAK: In Exhibit 37

MR. MAZAROLI: Yes, referred to in

Paragraph 4 of Exhibit 3.

MR. HASIAK: Which has how many pages?

THE WITNESS: One page.

MR. HASIAK: Exhibit 3?

MR. MAZAROLI: No, Exhibit 3 has multiple

pages.

MR. HASIAK: My point finally.

MR. MAZAROLI: I'm referring to - it's

difficult since we don't --

MR. GUTTERMAN: Exhibit A to 3, to

Exhibit 3.

MR. HASIAK: I was just looking for a

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED BEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402:326-3800 FAX(402)334-3037

ISOMAE & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED DEGAL VIRGO, L.L.C. (402)556-5000 EAX(402)336-2037

Γ	D. HARTHARN - DIRECT (SY HR. MAZAROLI) 78. little clarity.	
	BY MR. MAZAROLI:	
l	Q. How many pages are the transportation rate	
l	documents, Mr. Hartmann?	
ı	A. There is one page to Exhibit A which	
	includes the rates.	
	MR. HASIAK: Why don't we just talk about	
	the Bates number on the bottom right-hand corner and	
	eliminate the confusion?	
	MR. MAZAROLI: The confusion is that you	
	have a document that we don't have, Mr. Hasiak. I'm	
	not referring to documents that we	
	MR. HASIAK: Oh, this is the one we	
	objected to the rates.	
	MR. MAZAROLI: Yes, I want to know how	
	many pages each of the rate sheets that have not	1
	been produced.	١
	MR. HASIAK: And the answer is the rate	1
	sheets are	١
	THE WITNESS: There is one rate sheet.	1
	MR. HASIAK: On each agreement.	
	MR. MAZAROLI: On each agreement, and each	
	one is one page?	
	THE WITNESS: Correct.	
	MR. MAZAROLI: Thank you. All right, We	1

THOMAS & TROMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. 14021356-2008 FAX(402)356-2037

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAZAROLI) Paragraph B, loading, unloading? Q. Α. 0. The third sentence of Paragraph B of Paragraph 16 states, Railroad will not transport containers when the weight of the containers exceeds the railcar limitations. What does that mean? MR. HASIAK: If you know. THE WITNESS: I don't know for certain, no. BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. Okay. How is the weight of containers determined for Union Pacific Railroad's purposes in the context of this paragraph? A. The customer submits the weight of the container in their waybill information. Q. Okay. A. And then we incorporate that into our load plan. And if - if it meets the requirements or comes in under the weight limit, then we move the we move the car. Q. Okay. What is a load plan? Α. A load plan is an instruction that the operating department uses to load out a train.

> TROMAS & TROMAS CODAT REPORTERS AND CHRISTING LEGAL VIDEO, L.C.C. (402)554-5088 PAX(402)846-2037

And what is the substance of the

D. NARTHANN - DIRECT (BT MR. MAZAROLI) 79 would like production of both of those, and when you 1 2 produce them with the redaction, please indicate which one pertains to Exhibit 3, exempt rail 3 transportation agreement, and which one pertains to 4 Deposition Exhibit 4, the first addendum to exempt rail transportation agreement. 6 7 MR. HASIAK: David, just to be dear, we're maintaining our objection. I told you we 8 Đ, would discuss this internally. MR. MAZAROLI: I understand. 10 MR. HASIAK: Well, the way you state that 11 the record would tend to at least indicate that 12 maybe we agreed to something we haven't agreed to 13 14 yet. MR. MAZAROLI: No, you're maintaining your 16 16 objection, I'm maintaining my request. MR. HASIAK: Okay. 17 MR. GUTTERMAN: So it's under advisement 18 as to what we will do with this; is that correct, 19 20 Ray? MR, HASIAK: That's correct. 21 BY MR. MAZAROLI: 22 Q. Referring to Exhibit 3, Bates No. Page 23 A00011. 24 Α. 25 Yes.

D. BARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MK. MATAROES) 1 instructions, does it state which container goes 2 where? 3 A. You're getting --4 MR. HASIAK: David, No. 1, I think you're in an area where, you know, he's not from that department, and No. 2, what in the world does this 6 7 have to do with this particular lawsuit as opposed B to a different lawsuit that you're working on? 9 MR. MAZAROLI: Everything, It's in this contract. I don't know that this is in the other -10 11 any other case. MR. GUTTERMAN: It's the liability in 12 13 this --MR. MAZAROLI: Objection as to the form, 14 I would rather not have speaking objections. Let me 15 ask it another way, sir. BY MR. MAZAROLI: 17 Q. Mr. Hartmann, is there a physical weighing 18 of containers by Union Pacific Railroad before the 19 containers are loaded onto a train? 20 22 A. 22 Is there the capability of Union Pacific Railroad to weigh the content of railcars during the course of rail transportation? 24

I don't know.

25

Agreement.

Q.	Okay. Referring to Page A 00016 of
Deposition	Exhibit 3, it references a signing bonus
of \$500,0	00 to be paid to Evergreen America
Corporation	on,
Α,	Yes.
Q.	Was that signing bonus paid?
	MR. GUTTERMAN: Objection as to relevance.
	THE WITNESS: I don't know. Like I said,
this contri	act was incorporated prior to my being on
the position	on.
BY MR. M	AZAROLI:
Q.	Was there a signing bonus for the addendum
to the exe	empt rail transportation agreement?
A.	No, there was not.
Q.	Sir, referring to Paragraph 3 of
Exhibit 3,	towards the bottom of that paragraph
there is re	ference to Union Pacific Rallroad Company
Exempt C	ircular 20 series.
A.	Yes.
Q.	Was Exempt Circular 20 series in effect at
the time of	of the derailment at Higginson, Arkansas?
A.	I don't know that it was Exempt Circular
20 series	or one of the successor issues
Q.	Okay.
Α.	i.e, MITA.

A. Yes, it is. It's referred to by MITA or MITA 2-A. 5 Q. So what is this Exhibit 5, is it MITA or 6 7 MITA 2-A as you would describe it in your day-to-day 8 duties? 9 I would describe it as MITA, 0 Having reviewed - seen this document, ú, does it refresh your recollection at all as to 12 whether Circular 20 was in effect at the time of the 3 derailment at Higginson, Arkansas or one of the MITA type rules documents? A. Yeah, the bottom left of the MITA document 5 shows issued February 22nd, 2006. Q. And it also shows an effective date of March 1, 2006? A. Right. 9 So is it your understanding that the Ó document marked as Exhibit 5 was in effect at the 12 time of the derailment at Higginson, Arkansas on 2 April 5th, 2006? That's my understanding, yes. A. Were you involved in the drafting of this

D. HARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAKAROLE)

A. It's the Master Intermodal Transportation

Q. Is it referred to by any other title?

(482):55-5000 FAX(402):556-2017

D. HARTMANN - DIRECT (BY MB. MADAROLI) 83 Q. Do you know which was in effect on April 5th, 2006? 2 2 A. I don't know. 3 3 Q. Does Exhibit 3 -- you've seen Exhibit 3 4 before, haven't you, sir? 5 5 A. Yes. б 6 7 Q. And in connection with your negotiation of 7 the first addendum marked as Exhibit 4, you studied B Exhibit 3, didn't you? 9 9 10 A. Yes. Does Exhibit 3 refer to a document 11 11 entitled master intermodal transportation agreement? 12 12 13 13 Does Exhibit 4, the first addendum, refer 14 14 15 to a document entitled master intermodal transportation agreement? 16 16 17 17 MR. MAZAROLI: Ms. Reporter, would you 18 TR mark Exhibit 57 It has Bates No. 7001 through 7109. 19 19 (Exhibit No. 5 20 20 marked for identification.) 21 21 22 BY MR. MAZAROLI: 22 O. Mr. Hartmann, can you identify Exhibit 5? 23 23 Yes, I can. 24 A. 24 0. What is it? 25 25

document? A. No, sir. What relevance, if any, does this document Q. have to your day-to-day duties? A. Very high level of relevance. Excuse me, sir? Q. A. A very high level of relevance. Okay. Is it relevant at all to Union Pacific's relationship with Evergreen Marine Corporation? Α. I don't understand that question. Referring to Page 7010 of Exhibit 5 --Q. A. Paragraph O states, Shipper agrees to Q. notify any and all parties involved in this transaction of all the provisions, restrictions, and limitations contained in this MITA. A. Yes. Who does the word shipper refer to? Shipper is the party tendering a rail A. waybill to Union Pacific. And that would be -- in the context of Q. this court case, would that be Evergreen? A. That's correct.

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CENTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. 1402/556-5800 FAX(402)555-2837

D. HARTHARN - DIRECT LEY MR. MAZAROSIA

Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

11

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

Б

7

8

ė

10

11

12

23

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAZAROLI) document, Evergreen agrees to notify any and all 1 2 other parties involved in this transaction of the 3 provisions of MITA; is that correct? MR. HASIAK: I object to the form of the 4 5 question. You asked him what the agreement says, 6 not what Evergreen agrees to do. 7 BY MR: MAZAROLI: Q. Okay. Well, that's what that sentence 8 means, doesn't it? 9 10 MR. HASIAK: He doesn't control what 11 Evergreen does or doesn't do. 12 MR. MAZAROLI: I didn't ask that question 13 yet, Mr. Hasiak. BY MR. MAZAROLI: 14 Q. According to sentence one of Paragraph O 15 16 on Page 7010, the word shipper refers to Evergreen; is that correct? 17 A. That's correct. 18 19 So that sentence means that Evergreen 20 agreed to notify any and all parties of the terms of MITA; is that correct? 21

Q. Is a Union Pacific Railroad representative or agent present at the overseas place where a multimodal carrier such as Evergreen would take custody of an intermodal shipment, to your knowledge?

A. Not to my knowledge.

MR. HASIAK: Hey, David, we only resent

D. HARTHANN - DIRECT (BY MR. MATAROLI)

MR. HASIAK: Hey, David, we only reserved this room for two hours. We didn't think it would go on as long as it has. How much more time do you

think you're anticipating?

MR. MAZAROLI: It's hard to say. Not more than an hour I would say. Is that a problem?

MR. HASJAK: Well, we might get kicked out of the room. I guess we'll cross that when we get to it.

MR. MAZAROLI: All right. Let's keep working.

18 BY MR. MAZAROLI:

Q. After seeing Exhibit 5, sir, can you tell me if UP Exempt Circular 20 series, Item No. 142 B in effect at the time of the shipments in question?

MR. GUTTERMAN: Objection as to the

question. He's already testified that --

MR. MAZAROLI: No speaking objections, please. Just objection to the form, Mr. --

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CRATIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. 14021556-5000 TAX(402)556-2027

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDES, L.L.C. (4021554-5000 FAX(402)1556-2037

MR. GUTTERMAN: Objection, the sentence

D. NARTHARN - DIRECT (BY MR. MAZAROLI)

speaks for itself. He's already answered who the

87

BY MR. MAZAROLI:

shipper was.

Q. Okay. Do you know if before the movement of the shipments of auto parts which are the subject of this court case from the overseas origin ports, whether Evergreen notified the actual cargo owners of the terms of MITA?

A. No, sir, I don't know.

Q. Okay. Referring to Page 7024 --

A. Yes.

Q. -- Paragraph D-1.

A, Yes.

Q. It states, UPRR has the right to open units at any time to inspect, weigh or reject shipments at origin en route or at destination.

A. Yes.

Q. Was that provision in effect in April of

17 2006?

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, it was.

Q. And did that provision apply to Evergreen intermodal shipments?

A. Yes.

Q. The two shipments of auto parts that are the subject of this court case originated outside the borders of the United States; is that correct?

That's correct.

D. BARTHARD - DIRECT (BY MK. MAZAROLZ)

MR. GUTTERMAN: Objection to the form.

89

He's already --

MR. MAZAROLI: I don't want speaking objections, Mr. Gutterman, you're too good a lawyer for that.

BY MR. MAZAROLI:

Q. Having seen Exhibit 5, can you determine or testify as to whether or not UP Exempt Circular 20 series, Item No. 142 B was still in effect in March and April of 2006?

A. I don't know.

Q. When Union Pacific Railroad received the two shipments of auto parts which are the subject of this court case, did Union Pacific issue any other terms and conditions — withdraw that.

Other than documents that are marked in today's deposition, are you aware of any other terms and conditions which might apply to the two shipments of auto parts which are the subject of this court case?

A. No.

Q. When Union Pacific Railroad billed Evergreen for the intermodal shipments carried on the subject train ITIMNX 01, how was that billing done, is it done electronically?

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAR VIDEO, L.L.C. (602)536-5080 PAK14821556-2037 THOMAS & TROHAB COURT REFORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402)356-5000 FAX(402)556-2037

3

4

5

б

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

25

Α.	I don't know if it's electronic or if it's	
paper at	that time.	
Q.	Just one second. Sir, referring to 3, the	
exempt ra	ail transportation agreement at Page	
A 00014.		
A.	Yes.	
Q.	Numbered Paragraph 22, electronic data	
interchan	ge, subparagraph E; do you see that?	
A.	Yes, I do.	
Q.	The first sentence states, Customer shall	
endeavor	to increase the utilization of EDI for the	
timely tra	nsmission of bills of lading for the	
movemer	t of its equipment on the Railroad.	
Α.	Yes.	
Q.	Who is the customer in that sentence, is	
it Evergre	en?	
A.	It's Evergreen, that's correct.	
Q.	And what bills of lading does this	
sentence	refer to; is it the electronic waybills we	
discussed	previously?	
A.	It's the electronic rail waybills.	
Q.	Electronic rail waybills.	
	The next page, sir, A 00015. The notify	
party for	the railroad is the business manager; is	
that you?		

12	1 - 1 / COOCS-9119	d 02/04/2000 Tage 23 01 23
		ANN - CROSS (BY MK. CUTTERMAN) 92
1	successor	issues thereto.
2		What does that mean, successor issues
3	thereto, v	vould that include MITA?
9	Α.	Yes, it would.
5	Q.	Now, with regard to Exhibit 6 and 7
6	Α.	Yes.
7:	Q.	Look at those.
8	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Tell me who generated these two documents.
0	Α.	Union Pacific.
1	Q.	And what are these two documents?
2	Α.	It's rail waybill information.
3	Q.	Okay. Now, on the two documents is there
4	any refere	ence to a contract?
5		MR. MAZAROLI: Objection, the witness
6	testified h	e was not familiar with these two
7	document	s.
8	BY MR. G	UTTERMAN:
9	Q.	Well, now the documents are in front of
0	you. You	saw these documents prior to the
1	deposition	today; is that correct?
2	Α,	They were in the exhibits, yes,
3	Q.	Okay. Is there a reference in there to
4	any contra	
251		

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. (402)516-3000 PAX(402)556-2037 THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, U.L.C. (402)556-5000 FAX(402)556-2017

D. HARTHANN - CROSS (BY MB) COTTERNAN)

MR. MAZAROLI: Objection to the form.

	D. SARTH	ARN - CBOSS (BY MR. GUTTERHAR)	91
1	A.	That would be well, again, at the time	
2	I wasn't -		
3	Q.	Right.	
4	A.	- involved in this, but the railroad	
5	business r	manager would be the account manager	
E:	responsible	le for Evergreen at that time.	
7	Q.	Okay. And would that be you in April of	
В	2006?		
9	A.	In April 2006 that would be me, yes.	
0	Q.	Do you know if Evergreen conducted an	
1	investigat	ion as to the cause of the derailment?	
2	Α.	I don't know.	
3	Q.	Do you know if Evergreen sent anyone to	
4	the derail	ment site?	
5	A.	I don't know that either.	
5		MR. MAZAROLI: Let's go off the record.	
7		(11:27 a.m Recess taken.)	
8		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
9	BY MR. G	DTTERMAN:	
0	Q.	I just had a few questions then.	
1		Would you take a look at Exhibit 3,	
2	Paragraph	137	
3	Α,	Yes.	
4	Q.	The sentence about fifth from the bottom,	
5	it says, Ur	nion Pacific Exempt Circular 20 series and	

Q. Go ahead and answer. A. Yes, there is. Q. And what is that contract? A. DER 913. Q. And is that the reference to the ERTA agreement with Evergreen? A. Yes, it is. Q. Now, with regard to Exhibit 5 that Mr. Mazaroli had asked you some questions about, you also made mention of some item, I believe it was 142 and 143. Is there any reference in the MITA 2-A, which is Exhibit 5, to either of those two items? A. Can you repeat that question, I'm sorry? Q. Referenced in Exhibit 5, which is the MITA 2-A. A. Yes. Q. To any item such as 142 or 143 that Mr. Mazaroli had raised a question with you before, I believe it evolved out of Exempt Circular 20 B, is there any reference in the MITA 2-A to those provisions? A. No. Q. Now, do you have any knowledge as to the

extent of the alleged damages to the cargo in issue?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Α.	Only as to what's on Exhibit 11.	1	more raw	format.
3	Q.	And how about with regard to any	2		It's still electron
3	container	32	3	100	Yes, sir,
4	Α.	I don't I don't have any knowledge as	4	0.	And this inform
5	to what th	ne damage to the containers itself is, just	5	electronic	; is that correct?
6	to the cor	itents.	6	Α.	Yes, it would be
7:	Q.	Now, with regard to - I think it was	7	Q.	And what type
8	Exhibit 11	, that was prepared by Union Pacific; is	8	informatio	on generated on, i
9	that corre	ct?	9	another o	ne you mentioned
0	Α.	Yes, sir.	10		1 don't know wi
1	Q.	Would that have been prepared by someone	11	on. I just	know what syste
2	in your Pa	lestine office for the claims department?	12:	informatio	on.
3	Α.	Yes.	13	Q.	I mean, is it a L
4	Q.	Now, with regard Evergreen, do they	14	system?	
5.	disclose to	Union Pacific who their customer is?	15		MR. HASIAK:
6	Α.	No, they do not.	16		THE WITNESS:
7.		MR. GUTTERMAN: I have no other questions.	17	for sure.	
В		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	18	BY MR. M	AZAROLI:
9	BY MR. M	AZAROLI:	19	Q.	Can I get acces
0	Q.	All right. Mr. Hartmann?	20	Α.	No.
1	Α.	Yes.	21	Q.	Why not?
2	Q.	Exhibit 6 and 7.	22	Α.	Because you ha
3	Α,	Yes.	23	user ID ar	nd password.
2	Q.	You testified when I asked on direct what	24	Q.	To get the wayt
5	these wer	e that you did not know what they were; is	25	correct?	
**		THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REFORTERS AND CESTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, 1.1.C.			IRGHAS & IRGI

ormation generated on, is it the EDI system or other one you mentioned? A. I don't know what system it's generated I just know what system I use to access the ormation. Q. I mean, is it a Union Pacific proprietary stem? MR. HASIAK: Do you know? THE WITNESS: I think so. I don't know sure. MR. MAZARODI: Q. Can I get access to it? A. No. Q. Why not? Because you have to have a Union Pacific er ID and password. To get the waybill information; is that Q.

HARTHANN - REDIRECT (BY MR. MALANCLE)

A. Yes, it would be.

Q. It's still electronic when you look at it?

Q. And this information is intended to stay

Q. And what type of system is the waybill

[402]556-5000 PAX(402|556-203)

D. RABIRASE - BEDIRECT (BY MM. MALAROLI) that true? 1 A. I do not know what they are in the format 2 3 that exists in Exhibit 6 and 7. à Q. So when you were answering Mr. Gutterman's questions concerning reference to a contract, you 5 6 were basically reading what was before you; is that 7 correct? A. Well, I was reading what was before me, 8 that's correct. 9 Q. And you had no independent knowledge of 10 what went into the makeup of this - these documents 11 marked Exhibit 6 and 7; is that correct? 12 13 A. No, I'm familiar with the EDI information we receive from the customer, which is the same 14 information in a different format. 15 Q. Is the document, to your knowledge -- to your knowledge, the document marked -- well, let me 18 Why aren't you familiar with Exhibit 6 and 19 20 7? Because when I look at waybill information 23 22 on the system that I utilize day-to-day, it's not in this format. Q. Where is it when you look at it? 24

16

17

23

25

D. HABTHANK - REDIRECT (BY MR. MAXABOLI) MR. HASIAK: Do you know? THE WITNESS: No, I don't know. Yeah. BY MR. MAZAROLI: Can Evergreen get access to the waybill Q. information? MR. HASIAK: If you know. THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. We received by telefax two documents, one - they look very familiar - very similar. One does not appear to have an effective date, and the other one has an effective date of July 1, 2005. Can you tell us which of these two documents pertain to Deposition Exhibit 3? A. Deposition Exhibit 3 --MR. HASIAK: Just the Bates number in the bottom there. THE WITNESS: The one at the bottom right dated 3/26/2002 would be the one that that one would pertain to. MR. MAZAROLI: All right. Ms. Reporter, would you mark this document as sent to me by fax as Exhibit 3A. (Exhibit No. 3A

JEGMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CERTIFIES LIGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. 14021554-5080 FAX(402)556-2017

marked for identification.)

1		MR. MAZAROLI: Okay. And the other	1	want it to	move from or to.
2	document	t which has an effective date of July 1, 2005	2	0.	All right. And the shipments that are the
3		right, would you mark that as Exhibit 4A,	3	Type of the Sa	f this court case, let's just see here,
4	Ms. Repa	The first of the second	4	7.6	n which of those two terminals?
5	NATURE AND PARTY	(Exhibit No. 4A	51	A.	They moved from the TICTF, T-I-C-T-F
6		marked for identification.)	6	terminal.	mey moved from the field, 1-1-0-1-4
7:	BY MR. M		7	0.	It's the Evergreen terminal?
8	Q.	Mr. Hartmann, I just noticed on Exhibit 4A	8	Α.	Correct.
9:	on the bo	ttom right there is a date of 11/8/2007?	g	Q.	And that's indicated on Exhibits 8 and 9,
0	A.	Yes.	10	right?	one of the state o
1	Q.	That's obviously not the effective date,	11	A.	Yes, sir.
2	is it, it's a	printout date?	12	0.	Okay. And what does T-I-C-T-F mean?
3	Α,	That's correct.	13	A.	It stands for terminal island container
4	Q.	So on Exhibit 3A, that date 3/26/2002,	14	transfer fa	ecility.
5	that migh	t be a printout date as well?	35	Q.	That's an Evergreen facility?
6.	Α.	Yeah, I don't know.	16	Α.	Well, it's a shared facility by multiple
7	Q.	Okay. And these were the two Exhibit A	17		riers, but they are one of the tenants that
8	schedules	referred to in Paragraph 4 of the exempt	18	utilize that	
9	rail transp	ortation agreement marked as Exhibit 3;	19	Q.	Which other ones which other multi
0	is that cor	rect?	20	ocean carr	riers use them?
1	Α,	That's correct.	21	Α.	Well, there is terminal the TICTF is
2	Q.	And these prices on the top space under	22	actually th	ne yard or the loading area.
3	the three	categories of cargo have been redacted due	23	Q.	Okay.
4	to the con	fidentiality concern; is that correct?	24	A.	So you have NY K Line using that, Hapag
5	A.	That's correct.	25	Lloyd and	Evergreen. Those are the three main ones

THOMAS & THOMAS COURT REPORTERS AND CENTIFIED LEGAL VIGEO, L.L.C. (402)356-3000 TAX(402)356-2037 AND CERTIFIED LEGAL VIDEO, L.L.C. [402] 256-1000 PAX(402) 556-2037

> 1 2

> 3

4

5

6

7

В

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

		ANN - BEDIRECT (BY MR. MAISSOLI) 9			
1	Q.	On the top of Exhibit Exhibits 3A and			
Ė	4A, it stat	es international COFC rates; do you see			
	that?				
:	Α,	Yes, sir.			
5	Q.	What does COFC mean?			
5	Α,	Container on flatcar.			
7	Q.	And the between points shown on each of			
3	Exhibits 3	A and 4A, the first category of under			
9	the betwe	en column, it says TICTF, slash, ICTF, CA;			
	what does	s that mean?			
1	Α,	That means that a shipment moving to or			
2	from TICT	F, which is Evergreen's on-dock marine			
3	terminal, slash, and/or ICTF, which is our				
:	intermoda	I terminal in Long Beach, the rate on that			
8	line would	pertain to a shipment to either of			
5	those to	o or from either of those points.			
t:	Q.	Now, how would it be determined whether it			
3	moved fro	om Evergreen's on-dock — did you say it was			
9	an Evergr	een on-dock terminal?			
0	Α,	That's correct.			
1	Q.	From that terminal or for the one you			
2	described	as Union Pacific's terminal?			
3	Α.	We would move the customer would submit			
4	billing to t	he way that they would like it to move,			
5:	submit rai	I waybill billing designating where they			

ship onto the railcar? A. It goes from ship to railcar within the marine terminal. Q. And referring to Exhibits 6 and 7, there is reference under the name Evergreen America Corp to shipper certified scale weights; what does that mean? MR. HASIAK: If you know. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know the answer to that question. BY MR. MAZAROLI: Q. And there is reference further to do not weigh, shipper certified scale; do you know what that means? A. No, sir, I don't. Q. Thank you very much, Mr. Hartmann. You're welcome. Thank you. MR. GUTTERMAN: No further questions. What about signature, Ray? MR. HASIAK: We would waive it. MR. MAZAROLI: I may want him to sign it. I will let you know.

O. HARTHARR - REDIRECT (BY BS. BALABOLI) that I can think of. There may be more, but I'm not

Q. And on-dock means it goes direct from the

aware of what those might be.